

Lecturer Performance as Reflected by the Quality of Scientific Publications

Wahyudi¹, Mukrodi²

Universitas Pamulang, Indonesia

dosen00716@unpam.ac.id¹, dosen00560@unpam.ac.id²

Submitted: 07th May 2026 | **Edited:** 14th July 2026 | **Issued:** 01st September 2026

Cited on: Wahyudi, W., & Mukrodi, M. (2026). Lecturer Performance as Reflected by the Quality of Scientific Publications. *AKADEMIK: Jurnal Mahasiswa Ekonomi & Bisnis*, 6(3), 2308-2314.

ABSTRACT

The improvement of higher education quality is highly dependent on lecturers' performance, particularly their contribution to scientific publications. In the era of global competition, scientific publications are not only an academic requirement but also a crucial indicator for measuring lecturers' performance. The Master of Management Study Program at Universitas Pamulang faces challenges in enhancing both the quality and quantity of its lecturers' scientific publications. This research is motivated by the importance of identifying the extent to which the quality of scientific publications can serve as a reliable parameter of lecturers' performance. The objective of this study is to analyze the quality of scientific publications as an instrument for assessing lecturers' performance, specifically in the aspects of research and community service. This study employs a quantitative method with a descriptive approach. Data are collected through documentation obtained from the SINTA (Science and Technology Index) database of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology of Indonesia. The expected results provide an empirical overview of how the quality of scientific publications reflects overall lecturers' performance. The outcomes of this study include the publication of scientific articles in reputable international journals and nationally accredited journals, as well as strategic recommendations for developing policies aimed at improving the quality of scientific publications within the study program.

Keywords: Scientific Publication Quality, Lecturers' Performance, Higher Education, Research Productivity, Sinta Index

INTRODUCTION

The productivity and quality of lecturers' scientific publications have become central concerns in efforts to improve the quality of higher education in Indonesia. Government regulations emphasize publications in accredited national journals and reputable international journals as key components of lecturers' functional performance evaluation. Despite the growing volume of publications, their quality often remains inadequate in terms of journal indexation, citation impact, and academic reputation. This phenomenon indicates that publication quantity alone does not sufficiently represent excellent lecturer performance in advancing research and scientific knowledge (Altbach, Reisberg, & Rumbley, 2019).

The urgency of this study arises from the need to evaluate lecturers' performance based not only on publication volume but also on the scientific quality and substantive contributions of their research outputs. High-quality publications contribute

significantly to institutional reputation, research funding opportunities, international collaboration, and accreditation outcomes. In contrast, excessive emphasis on quantity may result in low-impact publications, engagement with predatory journals, and increased risks of academic misconduct (Teixeira & Silva, 2021).

Previous empirical studies have explored the relationship between scientific publications and lecturers' performance, suggesting that publication requirements can enhance research productivity. However, many studies fail to comprehensively distinguish indicators of publication quality, such as journal reputation, impact factor, citation metrics, and global visibility. Other studies primarily focus on policy-driven outcomes, such as certification or promotion systems, without adequately examining how publication quality relates to broader performance dimensions, including teaching effectiveness and community engagement (Hicks, Wouters, Waltman, de Rijcke, & Rafols, 2015).

A notable research gap persists in studies that empirically position publication quality as a central variable influencing multiple dimensions of lecturers' performance simultaneously. Furthermore, limited attention has been given to master's programs, where research intensity and publication expectations are generally higher, potentially resulting in different performance dynamics compared to undergraduate programs (Brew, 2018).

The Master of Management Study Program at Universitas Pamulang represents a relevant research setting due to its strong emphasis on research productivity and scientific publications. This study seeks to address existing gaps by empirically examining how publication quality indicators such as journal reputation, indexation status, citation impact, and research relevance affect lecturers' performance across teaching, research, community service, and academic support activities. The findings are expected to support institutional policy formulation that balances publication quantity with scientific quality in lecturer performance evaluation (Marginson, 2020).

LITERATURE REVIEW

Lecturer performance is a multidimensional construct that represents the extent to which academic staff fulfill their professional responsibilities within higher education institutions. Performance evaluation in universities is commonly grounded in the *Tri Dharma of Higher Education*, encompassing teaching, research, and community service, as well as supporting academic activities. These dimensions are used to assess accountability, effectiveness, and alignment with institutional objectives and national higher education standards (Sari dan Andriani, 2020; Sudirman, 2019).

Scientific publication is widely recognized as a primary indicator of lecturers' performance in the research dimension. Publications reflect scholarly productivity, intellectual contribution, and the ability to disseminate research findings to the academic community. In contemporary higher education systems, publication output plays a crucial role in determining academic promotion, professional recognition, and institutional competitiveness (Retnowati, Mardapi, dan Kartowagiran, 2018).

The quality of scientific publications has gained increasing attention as a more meaningful measure of academic performance than publication quantity alone. Publication quality is commonly evaluated through indicators such as journal reputation, indexation level, impact factor, citation frequency, and peer-review standards. High-quality publications indicate originality, methodological rigor, and

relevance, which collectively represent a lecturer's research capability and scholarly credibility (Wardi, Liviawati, dan Putri, 2022).

From the perspective of performance theory, output quality provides a more accurate representation of individual performance than output volume. High-quality academic outputs reflect not only productivity but also professional competence, ethical integrity, and sustained scholarly engagement. Therefore, publication quality serves as a valid proxy for academic excellence and long-term performance sustainability (Armstrong dan Taylor, 2017; Mangkunegara, 2020).

High-quality scientific publications also contribute to other dimensions of lecturers' performance, particularly teaching and community service. Research findings published in reputable journals can enhance curriculum content, promote evidence-based teaching, and support innovative learning methods. Moreover, impactful research outputs provide a scientific foundation for community service activities, strengthening the relevance and societal contribution of academic work (Krisna, Martono, Martak, Purnama, dan Restuaji, 2022).

Institutional theory highlights that lecturers' performance and publication quality are influenced by organizational context and support systems. Factors such as research funding, workload distribution, academic mentoring, research infrastructure, and institutional research culture significantly affect lecturers' ability to produce high-quality publications. Institutions that provide strong structural and cultural support tend to achieve higher levels of academic performance among their lecturers (Iskandar dan Subagyo, 2021).

In conclusion, the theoretical foundation of this study emphasizes that lecturer performance should be evaluated through a quality-oriented approach to scientific publications. Positioning publication quality as a key performance indicator aligns with contemporary performance management theories and higher education quality assurance frameworks. This perspective supports the argument that high-quality scientific publications reflect lecturers' overall performance across teaching, research, community service, and academic support activities (Suharsaputra, 2018).

METHODS

This study adopts an inductive reasoning approach, focusing on understanding the reality of a specific research object. The research aims to explore and comprehend the performance of lecturers by examining the underlying phenomena related to their scientific publications. In this context, the study is considered particularistic, as it seeks to gain in-depth information from the object of research to identify meaningful patterns and insights that explain the observed phenomenon (Creswell, 2014). The inductive approach allows the researcher to build understanding directly from empirical evidence, rather than relying solely on pre-existing theories or assumptions.

To implement this inductive reasoning, a quantitative approach is employed as it provides a systematic and effective way to confirm observations through measurable data. Quantitative research allows for detailed characterization of the data source, enabling the identification of specific trends and patterns in lecturer publications. In this study, the descriptive quantitative method is particularly appropriate because it emphasizes accurate documentation and analysis of existing data, rather than testing causal relationships. Data are obtained from the Science and Technology Index (SINTA) managed by the Indonesian Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and

Technology, which ensures that the information is valid, verifiable, and representative of actual lecturer performance.

The research population consists of all lecturers in the Master of Management Study Program at Universitas Pamulang, and the sample is defined as the entirety of their scientific publications, using a census sampling technique. Data collection combines observation and documentation methods, with a primary focus on documented publication records. Quantitative descriptive analysis is then applied to summarize and present the data systematically, including publication counts, citation metrics, institutional affiliations, and SINTA scores. This method provides a comprehensive snapshot of lecturers' publication patterns and their contributions to academic performance, enabling the depiction of trends, distribution, and characteristics of scientific output across the study program (Fink, 2019).

RESULTS

The lecturer performance of the Master of Management Study Program at Universitas Pamulang from the perspective of publication reputation shows a contrasting pattern between internationally reputable journals and nationally accredited publications. From 2016 to 2025, lecturers produced only 58 articles in international journals indexed by Scopus or Web of Science, averaging six publications per year among 26 lecturers, representing just 23% of expected output. This limited performance suggests that, despite ongoing efforts, international publication quality and consistency remain suboptimal. Strengthening strategies for research writing, international collaboration, and mentorship is necessary to improve global visibility and competitiveness.

In contrast, lecturer performance in nationally accredited journals demonstrates significant productivity. During the same period, a total of 1,666 publications were produced, averaging 167 articles per year, equivalent to 642% relative to the number of lecturers. This high achievement reflects a strong research culture and commitment to producing scholarly outputs at the national level. It also indicates that lecturers are capable of meeting institutional expectations and contributing meaningfully to the development of management knowledge in Indonesia, creating a robust foundation for academic recognition and institutional prestige.

The disparity between international and national publication performance highlights a quality gap based on journal reputation. While national publications show high productivity, international outputs remain limited, demonstrating that quantity alone does not equate to quality. The challenge lies in elevating publication standards internationally through institutional support, targeted mentorship, and strategic research collaboration. Enhancing the quality of publications in higher-quartile journals will increase the visibility and impact of lecturers' research while promoting the institution's global academic reputation, creating opportunities for international recognition and stronger scholarly influence in the management discipline.

When viewed from the perspective of journal indexing, similar patterns emerge. Most international publications were concentrated in lower-quartile journals, particularly Q4, while few publications appeared in Q1–Q3 journals, indicating that international competitiveness remains underdeveloped. Conversely, national publications showed high indexing achievement, particularly in SINTA categories S4, S5, and S6, far exceeding the number of lecturers. This indicates that lecturers are highly productive in meeting national standards. The imbalance between international

and national indexing highlights the need for structured institutional programs to improve the quality of research outputs targeted at high-impact journals globally.

Citation analysis provides additional insights into lecturer performance. International publications received 291 citations over the study period, averaging 29 citations per year. Relative to the number of lecturers, this equates to more than one citation per lecturer on average, suggesting that international publications have a measurable scholarly impact. Although citation numbers are moderate, they indicate that publications are being read, referenced, and contributing to global knowledge. Enhancing research quality, targeting higher-quartile journals, and fostering international collaborations are necessary to further increase citation impact and strengthen the global recognition of lecturers' scholarly contributions.

At the national level, citation impact is significantly higher. Publications indexed in SINTA accumulated a total of 28,395 citations, averaging 2,836 citations per year, far exceeding the number of lecturers. This demonstrates that the research conducted by lecturers is highly influential in developing management knowledge domestically. Nationally, their work serves as a key reference for other scholars and contributes to shaping the academic discourse within Indonesia. High citation counts underscore that research relevance, visibility, and quality play critical roles in defining overall lecturer performance beyond mere publication quantity.

The high number of citations, both nationally and internationally, underscores the importance of measuring research quality alongside quantity. Citation metrics provide evidence of scholarly impact and the relevance of research outputs. While national citations dominate, they reflect that publications are being recognized and utilized by the academic community, reinforcing the institution's influence. At the same time, international citations indicate that there is potential to expand global reach. Thus, evaluation of lecturer performance should consider both publication counts and citation impact as complementary indicators of research quality and contribution to knowledge advancement.

The dominance of national citations also highlights the strategic position of the Master of Management Study Program at Universitas Pamulang as a key center for management research in Indonesia. This creates opportunities for expanding international collaboration and enhancing global citation impact. By targeting higher-ranked journals and fostering partnerships with researchers abroad, lecturers can improve the visibility and relevance of their work internationally. Institutional policies that support internationalization, provide training for high-impact writing, and facilitate access to global research networks are critical to balancing performance across national and international domains.

Overall, the findings indicate that while lecturers demonstrate outstanding productivity in national journals, there is a clear need to improve international publication performance. Bridging the gap between national success and international recognition requires strategic institutional planning, mentoring programs, and incentives that promote publishing in high-impact journals. The development of a research support system can help lecturers enhance the quality and consistency of their international outputs, while maintaining strong national publication performance. This dual approach ensures that lecturer performance is balanced, comprehensive, and aligned with both national and global academic standards.

Furthermore, the analysis shows that publication quality, indexing, and citation impact are interconnected dimensions of lecturer performance. Quantity alone does not

reflect true academic contribution; impact metrics and journal reputation are essential for a comprehensive assessment (Aksnes et al., 2019; Mingers & Yang, 2017). Institutional efforts should therefore emphasize improving research visibility, mentoring for high-impact publications, and fostering collaborative networks that facilitate international exposure (Velez-Estevez et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2024). By integrating these elements, lecturers can achieve higher-quality outputs that reflect both their productivity and their influence on knowledge development, thereby enhancing the overall academic standing of the institution.

The study also highlights the need for continuous monitoring and evaluation of publication patterns. Data from SINTA and international indexing services provide valuable insights into trends, performance gaps, and areas requiring improvement. By analyzing these metrics systematically, program administrators can design targeted interventions that address weaknesses, reinforce strengths, and encourage balanced research performance. Evidence-based decision-making allows institutions to develop strategic policies that support sustainable research growth while maintaining high national and international standards, ultimately promoting academic excellence and global competitiveness.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the research findings emphasize that lecturer performance should be assessed using multiple indicators, including publication reputation, indexing, and citation impact. Nationally, lecturers show exceptional productivity and influence, while international outputs remain limited but promising. Enhancing international visibility, targeting higher-quartile journals, and supporting collaborative research are essential strategies. A holistic approach to performance evaluation that integrates quality, impact, and quantity ensures that lecturers' contributions are recognized both nationally and globally. This approach strengthens institutional reputation, promotes knowledge development, and provides a clear roadmap for continuous improvement in scientific publication performance.

REFERENCES

- Aksnes, D. W., Langfeldt, L., & Wouters, P. (2019). Citations, citation indicators, and research quality: An overview of basic concepts and theories. *SAGE Open*, 9(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244019829575>
- Altbach, P. G., Reisberg, L., & Rumbley, L. E. (2019). *Trends in global higher education: Tracking an academic revolution*. Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.
- Armstrong, M., & Taylor, S. (2017). *Armstrong's handbook of human resource management practice* (14th ed.). London: Kogan Page.
- Brew, A. (2018). *The nature of research: Inquiry in academic contexts* (2nd ed.). London: Routledge.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Fink, A. (2019). *Conducting research literature reviews: From the internet to paper* (5th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Hicks, D., Wouters, P., Waltman, L., de Rijcke, S., & Rafols, I. (2015). Bibliometrics: The Leiden Manifesto for research metrics. *Nature*, 520(7548), 429–431.

- Iskandar, D., & Subagyo, H. (2021). Organizational support and research culture in improving lecturers' publication performance. *Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan Tinggi*, 9(2), 115–128.
- Krisna, I. M., Martono, S., Martak, A., Purnama, Y., & Restuaji, A. (2022). The effect of lecturer certification on scientific publication performance in higher education. *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pengajaran*, 55(1), 45–56.
- Mangkunegara, A. A. A. P. (2020). *Manajemen sumber daya manusia perusahaan*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Marginson, S. (2020). *Higher education and the common good*. Melbourne: Melbourne University Press.
- Mingers, J., & Yang, L. (2017). Evaluating journal quality: A review of journal citation indicators and ranking in business and management. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 257(1), 323–337. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2016.07.058>
- Retnowati, E., Mardapi, D., & Kartowagiran, B. (2018). The impact of scientific publication requirements on lecturers' research performance. *Cakrawala Pendidikan*, 37(3), 427–440.
- Sari, R., & Andriani, D. (2020). Lecturer performance measurement based on the Tri Dharma of Higher Education. *Jurnal Pendidikan Tinggi dan Kebijakan*, 14(1), 1–12.
- Sudirman. (2019). *Profesionalisme dosen dalam pendidikan tinggi*. Jakarta: Prenadamedia Group.
- Suharsaputra, U. (2018). *Manajemen kinerja dosen*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Teixeira, P. N., & Silva, S. M. (2021). Academic publishing, performance evaluation, and the rise of predatory journals. *Studies in Higher Education*, 46(8), 1599–1613.
- Velez-Estevez, A., García-Sánchez, P., Moral-Munoz, J. A., & Cobo, M. J. (2022). Why do papers from international collaborations get more citations? A bibliometric analysis of Library and Information Science papers. *Scientometrics*, 127, 7517–7555. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-022-04486-4>
- Wang, J., Frietsch, R., Neuhäusler, P., & Hooi, R. (2024). International collaboration leading to high citations: Global impact or home country effect? *Journal of Informetrics*, 18(4), 101565. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2024.101565>
- Wardi, Y., Liviawati, & Putri, D. E. (2022). Research performance and publication quality of faculty members in higher education. *Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen dan Bisnis*, 13(2), 89–101.